

Reference = MATVIENKO 15; PR D92 012013
Verifier code = SAKAI

PLEASE READ NOW



Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

Yoshihide Sakai

EMAIL: yoshihide.sakai@kek.jp

July 21, 2016

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
RU-630090 Novosibirsk
Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

LIGHT UNFLAVORED MESONS

($S = C = B = 0$)

For $l = 1$ (π, b, ρ, a): $u\bar{d}, (u\bar{u}-d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}, d\bar{u}$;
for $l = 0$ ($\eta, \eta', h, h', \omega, \phi, f, f'$): $c_1(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) + c_2(s\bar{s})$

$\rho(1450)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$$

See our mini-review under the $\rho(1700)$.

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=M105

NODE=M105

NODE=M105205

NODE=M105M3
NODE=M105M3

$\rho(1450)$ MASS

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

YOUR DATA	1544 ± 22 ⁺¹¹ ₋₄₆	821	1	MATVIENKO 15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*0} \omega \pi^-$
	1491 ± 19	7815	2	ACHASOV 13	SND	1.05-2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
	1582 ± 17 ± 25	2382	3	AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
	1349 ± 25 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅	341	4	ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \omega \pi^-$
	1523 ± 10		5	EDWARDS 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \nu_\tau$
	1463 ± 25		6	CLEGG 94	RVUE	
	1250		7	ASTON 80C	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 p$
	1290 ± 40		7	BARBER 80C	SPEC	3-5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 p$

- YOUR NOTE
- ¹ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.
 - ² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
 - ³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
 - ⁴ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
 - ⁵ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
 - ⁶ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
 - ⁷ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=AC

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=3Z

NODE=M105M;LINKAGE=E1

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105M3;LINKAGE=A

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

YOUR DATA	303 ⁺³¹ ₋₅₂ ± 69 ⁺⁷	821	1	MATVIENKO 15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*0} \omega \pi^-$
	429 ± 42 ± 10	2382	2	AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
	547 ± 86 ⁺⁴⁶ ₋₄₅	341	3	ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \omega \pi^-$
	400 ± 35		4	EDWARDS 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \nu_\tau$
	311 ± 62		5	CLEGG 94	RVUE	
	300		6	ASTON 80C	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 p$
	320 ± 100		6	BARBER 80C	SPEC	3-5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 p$

- YOUR NOTE
- ¹ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.
 - ² Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
 - ³ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
 - ⁴ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

NODE=M105210

NODE=M105W3
NODE=M105W3

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=C

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=HK

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=3Z

NODE=M105W;LINKAGE=E1

⁵ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

⁶ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M105W3;LINKAGE=A

$\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ
 VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

YOUR DATA
 seen 821 ¹ MATVIENKO 15 BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*0}\omega\pi^-$
 seen 1.6k ACHASOV 12 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
 ~ 0.21 CLEGG 94 RVUE

YOUR NOTE ¹ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

NODE=M105225

NODE=M105R5
 NODE=M105R5

NODE=M105R5;LINKAGE=A

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER MATVIENKO 15 PR D92 012013 D. Matvienko *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
 ACHASOV 13 PR D88 054013 M.N. Achasov *et al.* (SND Collab.)
 ACHASOV 12 JETPL 94 734 M.N. Achasov *et al.*
 Translated from ZETFP 94 796.
 AKHMETSHIN 03B PL B562 173 R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.* (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
 ALEXANDER 01B PR D64 092001 J.P. Alexander *et al.* (CLEO Collab.)
 EDWARDS 00A PR D61 072003 K.W. Edwards *et al.* (CLEO Collab.)
 CLEGG 94 ZPHY C62 455 A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie (LANC, MCHS)
 BISELLO 91B NPBPS B21 111 D. Bisello (DM2 Collab.)
 ALBRECHT 87L PL B185 223 H. Albrecht *et al.* (ARGUS Collab.)
 DOLINSKY 86 PL B174 453 S.I. Dolinsky *et al.* (NOVO)
 ASTON 80C PL 92B 211 D. Aston (BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
 BARBER 80C ZPHY C4 169 D.P. Barber *et al.* (DARE, LANC, SHEF)

NODE=M105

REFID=56601
 REFID=55584
 REFID=54275

REFID=49406
 REFID=48391
 REFID=47465
 REFID=44081
 REFID=41752
 REFID=40418
 REFID=20246
 REFID=20652
 REFID=20653
 NODE=M065

$\rho(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$$

$\rho(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ
 VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

YOUR DATA
 not seen MATVIENKO 15 BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*0}\omega\pi^-$
 seen 1.6k ACHASOV 12 SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
 not seen 2382 AKHMETSHIN 03B CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
 seen ACHASOV 97 RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

NODE=M065230

NODE=M065R13
 NODE=M065R13

$\rho(1700)$ REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER MATVIENKO 15 PR D92 012013 D. Matvienko *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
 ACHASOV 12 JETPL 94 734 M.N. Achasov *et al.*
 Translated from ZETFP 94 796.
 AKHMETSHIN 03B PL B562 173 R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.* (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
 ACHASOV 97 PR D55 2663 N.N. Achasov *et al.* (NOVM)

NODE=M065

REFID=56601
 REFID=54275
 REFID=49406
 REFID=45382